



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,941	04/21/2004	Cezary Marcjan	MS305632.01/MSFTP627US	7204

27195 7590 01/13/2009  
AMIN, TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP  
127 Public Square  
57th Floor, Key Tower  
CLEVELAND, OH 44114

EXAMINER
----------

WON, MICHAEL YOUNG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2455

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

01/13/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com  
hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com  
lpasterchek@thepatentattorneys.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/828,941	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MARCJAN, CEZARY	
	<b>Examiner</b> MICHAEL Y. WON	<b>Art Unit</b> 2455	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MICHAEL Y. WON. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Laura Ulatowski (Reg. No. 63,646). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
           c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
       If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner expressed that the proposed amendment did not included a novel feature and cited another prior art which explicitly taught the amended limitation. The examiner requested the allowable features of claim 36 limitations needs to be incorporated into all the pending independent claims to expedite the application to an allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Michael Won/